### The non-trivial zeros of Riemann's zeta-function

#### Jailton C. Ferreira

#### Abstract

A proof of the Riemann hypothesis using the reflection principle  $\zeta(\overline{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$  is presented.

# 1 Introduction

The Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  can be defined by either of two following formulae [1]

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \tag{1}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \tag{2}$$

where p runs through all primes and  $\Re(s) > 1$ . By analytic continuation  $\zeta(s)$  is defined over whole  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The relationship between  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(1-s)$ 

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$$
(3)

is known as the functional equation of the zeta-function. From the functional equation it follows that  $\zeta(s)$  has zeros at  $s=-2,-4,-6,\ldots$ . These zeros are traditionally called trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ ; the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  with  $\Im(z)\neq 0$  are called non-trivial zeros. From the equation (2), which is known as Euler's product, it was deduced that  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros for  $\Re(s)>1$ . The functional equation implies that there are no non-trivial zeros with  $\Re(s)<0$ . It was deduced that there are no zeros for  $\Re(s)=0$  and  $\Re(s)=1$ . Therefore all non-trivial zeros are in the *critical strip* specified by  $0<\Re(s)<1$ .

Since that  $\zeta(s)$  is real on the real axis we have by the reflection principle

$$\zeta(\overline{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)} \tag{4}$$

Therefore the non-trivial zeros lie symmetrically to the real axis and the line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

In 1859 Riemann published the paper Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse. A translation of the paper is found in [2]. In the paper Riemann considers "very likely" that all the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  have real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The statement

The non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  have real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

is known as the Riemann hypothesis.

#### 2 Theorem

Lemma 2.1 If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\delta}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}} = 0$$
 (5)

where

$$\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \delta \tag{6}$$

$$\Im(z) = t \neq 0 \tag{7}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \tag{8}$$

then

$$\delta = 0 \tag{9}$$

Proof:

Let be

$$\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}} = b_n + ic_n \qquad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (10)

From (5) and (10) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\delta}} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = 0 \tag{11}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\delta}} c_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = 0$$
 (12)

Since that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{1-z}} - \frac{1}{(2n)^{1-z}} \right)$$
 (13)

and

$$\frac{1}{(2n)^{1-z}} = \frac{(2n)^{it}}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} = \frac{1}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \left[\cos(t\log(2n)) + i\sin(t\log(2n))\right]$$
(14)

and

$$\frac{1}{(2n-1)^{1-z}} = \frac{(2n-1)^{it}}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \left[\cos(t\log(2n-1)) + i\sin(t\log(2n-1))\right]$$
(15)

we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\cos(t \log(2n-1))}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} - \frac{\cos(t \log(2n))}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \right)$$
(16)

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin(t \log(2n-1))}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} - \frac{\sin(t \log(2n))}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \right)$$
(17)

Defining the functions

$$u(n) = \frac{\cos(t\log(2n-1))}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{18}$$

and

$$v(n) = \frac{\cos(t\log(2n))}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{19}$$

substituting (18) and (19) into (16) and using (11) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) = 0$$
 (20)

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) = 0$$
 (21)

The k-th part of (20) is

$$(2k-1)^{\delta}u(k) - (2k)^{\delta}v(k) = -\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta}u(n) - (2n)^{\delta}v(n) \right) - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta}u(n) - (2n)^{\delta}v(n) \right)$$
(22)

where k > 1. From (22) we can obtain the k-th part of (21)

$$k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2k-1)^{\delta} u(k) - (2k)^{\delta} v(k) \Big) =$$

$$- \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big) - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big)$$
(23)

The left side of (23) obtained from (21) is

$$k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2k-1)^{\delta} u(k) - (2k)^{\delta} v(k) \Big) =$$

$$- \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} n^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big) - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big)$$
(24)

Comparing (23) with (24) we conclude

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} k^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big) =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} n^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^{-2\delta} \Big( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \Big)$$
(25)

for all k > 1.

Let be  $\delta > 0$ . Rearranging (25) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right)$$
(26)

The limit of the left side of (26) when k tends to infinite is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) \tag{27}$$

Let us notice that

$$(2n-1)^{\delta}u(n) - (2n)^{\delta}v(n) = \frac{\cos(t\log(2n-1))}{(2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} - \frac{\cos(t\log(2n))}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}}$$
(28)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\cos(t \log(2n - 1))}{(2n - 1)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}} - \frac{\cos(t \log(2n))}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}} \right] = 0$$
 (29)

for  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . The limit of the right side of (26) when k tends to infinite is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) \right]$$
 (30)

Let us notice that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) \right] = 0 \tag{31}$$

From (20), (27) and (30) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{2\delta} \left( (2n-1)^{\delta} u(n) - (2n)^{\delta} v(n) \right) \right] = 0$$
 (32)

It is clear that (32) is not correct because the series (30) does not converge for  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . This means that  $\delta$  is not great than 0.

Considering the symmetry conditions of the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function and that  $\delta$  can not belongs to the interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ , we conclude that  $\delta$  can not belongs to  $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ . Hence  $\delta = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1** The non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  have real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Proof:

Let us assume z to be such that  $0 < \Re(z) < 1$ ,  $\Im(z) \neq 0$  and

$$\zeta(z) = \zeta(1-z) \tag{33}$$

and

$$\zeta(z) = \zeta(\overline{z}) \tag{34}$$

If z is a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta$ , then (33) and (34) are necessary conditions.

The Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s) \tag{35}$$

is convergent for all values of s such that  $\Re(s) > 0$  [1]. For z we have

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - 2^{1 - z})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^z}$$
(36)

From (33), (34) and (36) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(1-2^{1-\overline{z}})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\overline{z}}} = \frac{1}{(1-2^z)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}}$$
(37)

If z is a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\overline{z}}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}}$$
(38)

Let be

$$\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$$
 and  $\Im(z) = t$  (39)

where

$$-\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \tag{40}$$

Substituting (39) into (38) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta - it}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta - it}}$$

$$\tag{41}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\delta}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{1-z}}$$
(42)

Considering the lemma 2.1 we conclude

$$\Re(z) = \frac{1}{2} \tag{43}$$

## References

- [1] E. C. Titchmarsh and D. R. Heath-Brown, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Oxford University Press, (1988).
- [2] H. M. Edwards, Riemann's Zeta Function, Academic Press, (1974).